C(10)—C(9)	1.395 (3)	C(10*)—C(9*)	1.395 (3)
C(10)—C(5)	1.399 (3)	C(10*)—C(5*)	1.407 (3)
C(5)—C(6)	1.366 (3)	C(5*)—C(6*)	1.367 (4)
C(6)—C(7)	1.407 (3)	C(6*)—C(7*)	1.405 (4)
C(7)—O(7)	1.348 (3)	C(7*)—O(7*)	1.348 (3)
C(7)—C(8)	1.384 (3)	C(7*)-C(8*)	1.385 (3)
C(9)—C(8)	1.387 (3)	C(9*)—C(8*)	1.387 (3)
C(2) - C(1')	1.470 (3)	C(2*)—C(1'*)	1.475 (3)
C(1') - C(2')	1.410 (3)	C(1'*) - C(2'*)	1.402 (4)
C(2') - C(3')	1.385 (3)	C(2'*) - C(3'*)	1.389 (3)
C(3') - C(4')	1.399 (3)	C(3'*)—C(4'*)	1.401 (3)
C(4') - C(5')	1.384 (3)	C(4'*)-C(5'*)	1.392 (4)
C(5')—C(6')	1.389 (3)	C(5'*)—C(6'*)	1.394 (3)
C(1')—C(6')	1.394 (3)	C(1'*) - C(6'*)	1.393 (4)
C(2')—O(2')	1.371 (3)	C(2'*)—O(2'*)	1.368 (3)
C(3')—O(3')	1.375 (3)	C(3'*)—O(3'*)	1.377 (3)
C(4')—O(4')	1.359 (3)	C(4'*)—O(4'*)	1.353 (3)
O(2') - C(2'1)	1.433 (4)	O(2'*) - C(2'1*)	1.443 (4)
O(3')—C(3'1)	1.444 (4)	O(3'*)—C(3'1*)	1.410 (4)
O(4')—C(4'1)	1.421 (4)	O(4'*)—C(4'1*)	1.428 (4)
$C(2) \rightarrow O(1) \rightarrow C(9)$	120.1 (2)	$C(2^*) \rightarrow O(1^*) \rightarrow C(9^*)$	118.8 (2)
C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	120.8 (2)	C(6*) - C(7*) - C(8*)	120.1 (2)
O(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.6 (2)	$O(1^*) - C(2^*) - C(3^*)$	122.6 (2)
O(7)-C(7)-C(8)	122.1 (2)	O(7*)-C(7*)-C(8*)	122.6 (2)
O(1) - C(2) - C(1')	111.4 (2)	$O(1^*) - C(2^*) - C(1'^*)$	110.6 (2)
C(9)—C(8)—C(7)	118.1 (2)	C(9*)—C(8*)—C(7*)	118.3 (2)
C(3) - C(2) - C(1')	128.0 (2)	$C(3^*) - C(2^*) - C(1'^*)$	126.7 (2)
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	122.6 (2)	$C(2^*) - C(3^*) - C(4^*)$	121.9 (2)
C(2) - C(1') - C(2')	123.1 (2)	$C(2^*) - C(1'^*) - C(2'^*)$	121.3 (2)
C(3') - C(4') - O(4')	115.2 (2)	C(3'*) - C(4'*) - O(4'*)	114.5 (2)
C(2) - C(1') - C(6')	119.7 (2)	$C(2^*) - C(1'^*) - C(6'^*)$	120.4 (2)
C(3') - C(4') - C(5')	119.5 (2)	C(3'*) - C(4'*) - C(5'*)	119.7 (2)
C(3)—C(4)—O(4)	122.2 (2)	$C(3^*) - C(4^*) - O(4^*)$	122.5 (2)
C(2') - C(1') - C(6')	117.1 (2)	C(2'*) - C(1'*) - C(6'*)	118.3 (2)
O(4') - C(4') - C(5')	125.3 (2)	O(4'*) - C(4'*) - C(5'*)	125.9 (2)
C(3) - C(4) - C(10)	115.4 (2)	$C(3^*) - C(4^*) - C(10^*)$	114.8 (2)
C(1') - C(2') - O(2')	121.0 (2)	C(1'*) - C(2'*) - O(2'*)	121.0 (2)
C(4') - O(4') - C(4'1)	118.9 (2)	C(4'*) = O(4'*) = C(4'1*)) 118.4 (2)
O(4) - C(4) - C(10)	122.4 (2)	$O(4^{*}) - C(4^{*}) - C(10^{*})$	122.8 (2)
$C(1^{\circ}) \rightarrow C(2^{\circ}) \rightarrow C(3^{\circ})$	120.7(2)	$C(1^{+}) - C(2^{+}) - C(3^{+})$	120.3(2)
C(4) - C(10) - C(9)	119.1 (2)	$C(4^{+}) = C(10^{+}) = C(9^{+})$	120.1 (2)
$O(2) \rightarrow O(2) \rightarrow O(3)$	118.1 (2)	$C(2^{+}) = C(2^{+}) = C(3^{+})$	110.0(2)
C(4) = C(10) = C(3)	123.1(2) 1145(2)	$C(2'*) \rightarrow C(2'*) \rightarrow C(2'1*)$	122.7(2)
C(2) = O(2) = C(2 1)	114.3(2)	$C(2^{*}) = C(2^{*}) = C(2^{*})$	117 2 (2)
O(1) - C(10) - C(10)	$121 \Theta(2)$	O(1*) - C(9*) - C(10*)	1218(2)
O(1) = C(9) = C(10)	1157(2)	$O(1^*) - C(9^*) - C(8^*)$	115.3 (2)
C(10) - C(9) - C(8)	122.4 (2)	$C(10^*) - C(9^*) - C(8^*)$	123.0 (2)
$C(4') \rightarrow C(5') \rightarrow C(6')$	119.3 (2)	C(4'*) - C(5'*) - C(6'*)	119.0 (2)
C(10)—C(5)—C(6)	121.2 (2)	C(10*)-C(5*)-C(6*)	120.9 (2)
C(2') - C(3') - O(3')	118.5 (2)	C(2'*) - C(3'*) - O(3'*)	119.9 (2)
C(1')-C(6')-C(5')	122.6 (2)	C(1'*) - C(6'*) - C(5'*)	122.1 (2)
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	119.6 (2)	C(5*)—C(6*)—C(7*)	120.5 (2)
C(2') - C(3') - C(4')	120.6 (2)	C(2'*) - C(3'*) - C(4'*)	120.7 (2)
O(3') - C(3') - C(4')	120.8 (2)	O(3'*) - C(3'*) - C(4'*)	119.4 (2)
$C(3') \rightarrow O(3') \rightarrow C(3'1)$	114.3 (2)	C(3'*) - O(3'*) - C(3'1*)) 114.4 (2)
C(6)—C(7)—O(7)	117.1 (2)	C(6*)—C(7*)—O(7*)	117.2 (2)

Phenolic H atoms were not located but their presence was inferred from the $O(4) \cdots O(7)$ and $O(4^*) \cdots O(7^*)$ distances of 2.628 (3) and 2.632 (3) Å, respectively. The structure was solved by direct methods using *MULTAN78* (Main, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1978).

The authors thank Dr V. Galitsky for crystal screening and data collection. J-CW thanks the Conseil Regional Provence-Alpes Cote d'Azur for financial support.

References

- Abboud, K. A., Simonsen, S. H., Mabry, T. J. & Fang, N. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1788–1792.
- Castleden, I. R., Hall, S. R., Nimgirawath, S., Thadaniti, S. & White, A. H. (1985). Aust. J. Chem. 38, 1177-1185.
- Cody, V., Luft, J., Koehrle, J., Hesch, R. D. & Irmscher, K. (1988). Bull. Liaison Group Polyphenols, 14, 365–366.
- Cotelle, N., Bernier, J. L., Hénichart, J. P., Catteau, J. P., Gaydou, E. & Wallet, J.-C. (1992). Free Radic. Biol. Med. 13, 211-219.
- Jarchow, O. & Schmalle, H. (1981). Z. Kristallogr. 154, 327-329.
- Kawai, T., Yoshimura, Y., Shimaoka, K., Nakamura, N. & Yano, S. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1120–1122.
- Laget, M., De Méo, M., Wallet, J.-C., Gaydou, E. M., Guiraud, H. & Duménil, G. (1993). *Environ. Mutagen.* Submitted.
- Llorca, J., Molins, E., Miravitlles, C., Cody, V., Wallet, J.-C. & Gaydou, E. M. (1993). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 23, 481–484.
- Main, P., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1978). MULTAN78. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Tinant, B., Declercq, J.-P., Wallet, J.-C., Gaydou, E. M. & Baldy, A. (1991). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 100, 329–337.
- Wallet, J. C. (1992). Unpublished results.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1195–1198

Crystal Studies of Avarol Derivatives. 5'-Acetylavarol from Dysidea avara

RAFFAELLA PULITI AND SALVATORE DE ROSA

Istituto per la Chimica di Molecole di Interesse Biologico CNR, Via Toiano 6, 80072 Arco Felice-Napoli, Italy

CARLO ANDREA MATTIA

Dipartimento di Chimica dell'Università 'Federico II' di Napoli, Via Mezzocannone 4, 80134 Napoli, Italy

(Received 12 July 1994; accepted 4 November 1994)

Abstract

The X-ray analysis of the natural product 5'acetylavarol {4-hydroxy-3-[(1,2,3,4,4a,7,8,8a-octahydro-1,2,4a,5-tetramethyl-1-naphthyl)methyl]phenyl acetate, $C_{23}H_{32}O_3$ } confirms the previous structure elucidation of Crispino, De Giulio, De Rosa & Stazzullo [J. Nat. Prod. (1989), **52**, 646–648], which was made on the basis of chemical and spectral data. Geometrical distortions, with respect to normal tetrahedral values, are evident in the sesquiterpene moiety. The distortions are induced by short contacts between the bulky substituents of the bicyclic system. The hydroquinone ring is nearly perpendicular to the sesquiterpene residue. The crystal

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1095). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

packing is characterized by chains of screw-related molecules connected, along the *b*-axis direction, through repetition of a hydrogen bond that connects hydroxyl groups and acetyl O atoms. The shortest methyl-methyl intermolecular contact is 3.733 (6) Å.

Comment

We are currently undertaking comparative studies of the structural and biological features of terpenoid metabolites (Puliti, Gavagnin, Cimino, Mattia & Mazzarella, 1992; Cimino, Crispino, Epifanio, Madaio, Mattia, Mazzarella, Puliti, Trivellone & Uriz, 1992; Puliti, Fontana, Cimino, Mattia & Mazzarella, 1993; De Rosa, Puliti, Crispino, De Giulio, Mattia & Mazzarella, 1994). In particular, we are interested in crystal structure determinations of avarol, a sesquiterpenoid monosubstituted hydroquinone molecule (Puliti, De Rosa & Mattia, 1994), and its derivatives (Giordano & Puliti, 1987), in connection with the biological activities and possible pharmacological implications of this class of compounds (De Giulio, De Rosa, Strazzullo, Diliberto, Obino, Marongiu, Pani & La Colla, 1991; Ferrándiz, Sanz, Bustos, Payá, Alcaraz & De Rosa, 1994). As part of this research, we present the crystal structure of a further natural compound related to avarol, namely, 5'acetylavarol, (I).



5'-Acetylavarol has recently been isolated (Crispino, De Giulio, De Rosa & Strazzullo, 1989) as a minor secondary metabolite from samples of the common sponge Dysidea avara collected in the bay of Naples (Capo Miseno) and its characterization has been carried out by means of NMR and IR spectroscopy. Compound (I) shows cytotoxic activity. A view of the final X-ray model is shown in Fig. 1, together with the atomic numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids. The results of the present study are in complete agreement with the structural elucidation of Crispino et al. (1989). The molecular geometry (Table 2) compares well with those of avarol (Puliti et al., 1994) and its dimethyl derivative (Giordano & Puliti, 1987). In the sesquiterpene residue, significant deviations from normal bond lengths and angles are present. In particular, in the atomic sequence C4, C5, C10, C9, C8, the geometrical distortions are analogous to those discussed for the avarol structure and they are probably induced by short contacts between the bulky substituents of the bicyclic system. The



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the 5'-acetylavarol molecule with the atomic numbering scheme for non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 30% probability level.

large values associated with the bond distances at the C11 methylene group, and especially its valency angle [119.4 (3)°], should also be noted. They allow loosening of the intramolecular contacts between the C_{15} sesquiterpene and hydroquinone moieties.

The $\Delta^{3,4}$ cyclohexene ring adopts a conformation intermediate between the half-chair and half-boat forms. Atom C1 is displaced 0.258 (3) Å on one side and atom C10 0.579 (3) Å on the opposite side of the best plane defined by the remaining ring atoms. Deviation from ideal $C_2(HC)$ and $C_3(HB)$ symmetries can be measured by the asymmetry parameters $\Delta C_2[C3-C4] = 12.6$ and $\Delta C_{S}[C3] = 14.9^{\circ}$ (Duax, Weeks & Rohrer, 1976). The cyclohexane ring has a nearly ideal chair conformation with Q = 0.573(3) Å and $\theta = 4.4(3)^{\circ}$, according to the Cremer & Pople (1975) puckering parameters. With respect to the ideal chair form, atom C10 is slightly more 'flattened' than C7, the respective distances from the best plane through the remaining ring atoms being 0.616(3) and 0.687(4) Å. In this ring, the mean value of the intra-ring torsion angles is $55.5(5)^{\circ}$.

The hydroquinone ring is almost perpendicular to the bicyclic system and it is oriented so as to optimize the contacts with the sesquiterpene residue $[C1\cdots O1 3.186 (4) \text{ and } C8\cdots C6' 3.283 (4) \text{ Å}]$. The dihedral angle between the weighted best planes through the *trans*-fused system and the hydroquinone ring is $83.6 (1)^\circ$. The aromatic ring is planar to within 0.024 (4) Å and the hydroxyl O atom is 0.143 (3) Å out of the average plane. Atom O2 lies in the ring plane and the C7'-O3 and O2--C5' bonds are eclipsed with respect to each other.

The crystal packing is shown in Fig. 2. Molecules related by 2₁ symmetry along **b** are connected in chains by means of a hydrogen bond between the hydroxyl group and the acetyl O atom $[O1\cdots O3^i 2.757 (4), H(O1)\cdots O3^i 1.81 \text{ Å}, O1-H\cdots O3^i 164^\circ; symmetry code: (i) <math>-x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z]$. The shortest intermolecular contact between sequiterpene residues is $C1\cdots C6(x - 1)^{-1} + y = 0$. 1, y, z) of 3.565(5) Å.



Fig. 2. Crystal packing projected in the ab plane. The hydroquinone and sesquiterpene residues are shown by bold and light lines, respectively. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.

Experimental

Crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation from an *n*-heptane-ethyl ether solution.

Crystal data

$C_{23}H_{32}O_3$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 356.51$	$\lambda = 1.5418$ Å
Orthorhombic	Cell parameters from 24
P2 ₁ 2 ₁ 2 ₁	reflections
a = 7.256(1) Å	$\theta = 20-27^{\circ}$
b = 9.3991 (9) Å	$\mu = 0.549 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 30.265 (3) Å	T = 293 K
$V = 2064.0 (7) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism
Z = 4	$0.38 \times 0.10 \times 0.07$ mm
$D_x = 1.147 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Colourless

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F	$\theta_{\rm max} = 70^{\circ}$
diffractometer	$h = 0 \rightarrow 8$
ω scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction:	$l = 0 \rightarrow 36$
none	3 standard reflections
2273 measured reflections	frequency: 240 min
2273 independent reflections	intensity decay: 4%
1704 observed reflections	
$[I \geq 2.5\sigma(I)]$	

Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm m}$
R = 0.0441	$\Delta \rho_{\rm m}$
wR = 0.0438	Extin
S = 0.88	St
1704 reflections	• Extir
236 parameters	1.
H-atom parameters not	Aton
refined	fro
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.01F_o)^2]$	for
+ 0.2]	(19
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$	•

 $hax = 0.15 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $h_{\rm in} = -0.13 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ nction correction: tout & Jensen (1968) nction coefficient: $11(7) \times 10^{-6}$ nic scattering factors om International Tables r X-ray Crystallography 974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$B_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j B_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$				
	x	у	Z	Bea
01	-0.2007 (4)	0.0832 (3)	0.31270 (8)	6.60 (7)
02	0.4705 (4)	0.1169 (3)	0.22719 (7)	5.87 (6)
03	0.3956 (5)	0.3375 (3)	0.2045 (1)	9.58 (9)
C1	-0.0624 (5)	0.0077 (4)	0.4098 (1)	4.40 (7)
C2	-0.0771 (6)	-0.1520 (4)	0.4006(1)	5.62 (9)
C3	0.0734 (6)	-0.2323 (4)	0.4226 (1)	5.06 (8)
C4	0.2201 (5)	-0.1777 (4)	0.4415(1)	4.57 (8)
C5	0.2531 (5)	-0.0160 (3)	0.44346 (9)	3.80 (7)
C6	0.4587 (5)	0.0181 (4)	0.4360(1)	4.67 (8)
C7	0.4896 (5)	0.1771 (4)	0.4303 (1)	5.03 (8)
C8	0.3850 (5)	0.2359 (3)	0.3909(1)	4.21 (7)
C9	0.1723 (4)	0.2134 (3)	0.3958 (1)	3.83 (7)
C10	0.1412 (4)	0.0515 (3)	0.40504 (9)	3.43 (6)
C11	0.0722 (5)	0.2564 (3)	0.3521 (1)	4.39 (7)
C12	0.0902 (5)	0.3110 (4)	0.4317(1)	4.99 (8)
C13	0.4435 (6)	0.3910 (4)	0.3829 (1)	6.3 (1)
C14	0.1982 (6)	0.0325 (4)	0.4907(1)	5.09 (9)
C15	0.3602 (7)	-0.2719 (4)	0.4635 (2)	7.4 (1)
C1′	0.1054 (5)	0.1705 (4)	0.3107(1)	4.27 (7)
C2′	-0.0310 (6)	0.0833 (4)	0.2926(1)	5.19 (8)
C3′	0.0007 (7)	0.0038 (5)	0.2549(1)	6.12 (9)
C4′	0.1680 (6)	0.0133 (4)	0.2328 (1)	6.0 (1)
C5′	0.2981 (6)	0.1057 (4)	0.2494(1)	5.33 (9)
C6′	0.2706 (5)	0.1824 (4)	0.2873 (1)	4.62 (8)
C7′	0.5010 (6)	0.2413 (4)	0.2054 (1)	6.0 (1)
C8′	0.6866 (7)	0.2424 (5)	0.1840(1)	7.5 (1)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

1.374 (5)	C7C8	1.517 (5)
1.424 (5)	C8C9	1.565 (5)
1.362 (5)	C8C13	1.537 (5)
1.185 (5)	C9C10	1.563 (4)
1.531 (5)	C9C11	1.561 (5)
1.541 (5)	C9C12	1.541 (5)
1.485 (5)	C11—C1′	1.512 (5)
1.314 (5)	C1'—C2'	1.396 (5)
	1.374 (5) 1.424 (5) 1.362 (5) 1.531 (5) 1.541 (5) 1.485 (5) 1.485 (5) 1.314 (5)	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.374 (5) & C7-C8 \\ 1.424 (5) & C8-C9 \\ 1.362 (5) & C8-C13 \\ 1.185 (5) & C9-C10 \\ 1.531 (5) & C9-C11 \\ 1.541 (5) & C9-C12 \\ 1.485 (5) & C11-C1' \\ 1.314 (5) & C1'-C2' \end{array}$

$C_{23}H$	$_{32}O_{3}$	3
-----------	--------------	---

C4—C5	1.540 (5)	C1'C6'	1.396 (5)
C4-C15	1.503 (6)	C2'-C3'	1.385 (5)
C5-C6	1.543 (5)	C3'C4'	1.388 (7)
C5-C10	1.554 (4)	C4'C5'	1.377 (6)
C5-C14	1.553 (4)	C5'C6'	1.368 (5)
C6C7	1.521 (5)	C7′C8′	1.494 (6)
C5'—O2—C7'	115.8 (3)	C10-C9-C12	113.4 (3)
C2C1C10	108.1 (3)	C11C9C12	105.2 (3)
C1C2C3	111.5 (3)	C1C10C5	108.8 (3)
C2C3C4	126.3 (3)	C1C10C9	114.5 (3)
C3C4C5	121.9 (3)	C5-C10-C9	117.1 (3)
C3C4C15	120.7 (3)	C9-C11-C1'	119.4 (3)
C5-C4-C15	117.4 (3)	C11C1'C2'	121.7 (3)
C4-C5-C6	110.5 (3)	C11C1'C6'	121.0 (3)
C4-C5-C10	107.0 (2)	C2'C1'C6'	117.2 (3)
C4-C5-C14	106.6 (3)	01C1'	117.6 (3)
C6C5C10	108.1 (2)	O1-C2'-C3'	120.9 (4)
C6C5C14	108.8 (3)	C1'C2'C3'	121.5 (4)
C10-C5-C14	115.8 (3)	C2'-C3'-C4'	120.5 (4)
C5-C6-C7	111.3 (3)	C3'-C4'-C5'	117.7 (4)
C6-C7-C8	112.0 (3)	O2C5'C4'	118.4 (3)
C7C8C9	111.7 (3)	O2C5'C6'	119.0 (3)
C7C8C13	109.4 (3)	C4'C5'C6'	122.5 (4)
C9-C8-C13	114.6 (3)	C1'C6'C5'	120.5 (3)
C8-C9-C10	106.9 (2)	O2C7'O3	124.2 (4)
C8-C9-C11	110.1 (3)	O2—C7′—C8′	111.3 (4)
C8-C9-C12	111.5 (3)	O3C7'C8'	124.5 (4)
C10-C9-C11	109.6 (2)		
C5′—O2—C7′—O3	0.9 (5)	C8-C9-C11-C1'	66.1 (4)
C2C3C4C5	0.6 (6)	C9-C11-C1'-C2'	109.8 (4)
C4C5C10C9	-172.0 (3)	C6' - C1' - C2' - 01	-173.0(3)
C14-C5-C10-C9	69.3 (4)	02C5'C6'C1'	-178.0(3)
C13C8C9C12	-53.9 (4)		

The structure was solved using the *MULTAN*11/82 package (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1982). The weighting scheme was according to Killean & Lawrence (1969). H atoms were located on the basis of geometrical considerations and ΔF map suggestions (for hydroxyl and methyl groups). All H atoms were included as fixed atoms in the final refinement, with isotropic displacement parameters set equal to B_{eq} of the parent atoms. Calculations were performed using Enraf–Nonius *SDP* software (B. A. Frenz & Associates, Inc., 1985) on a MicroVAX 3100 computer.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1126). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- B. A. Frenz & Associates, Inc. (1985). SDP Structure Determination Package. College Station, Texas, USA, and Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Cimino, G., Crispino, A., Epifanio, R. de A., Madaio, A., Mattia, C. A., Mazzarella, L., Puliti, R., Trivellone, E. & Uriz, M. (1992). *Tetrahedron*, **48**, 9013–9022.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1361.
- Crispino, A., De Giulio, A., De Rosa, S. & Strazzullo, G. (1989). J. Nat. Prod. 52, 646–648.
- De Giulio, A., De Rosa, S., Strazzullo, G., Diliberto, L., Obino, P., Marongiu, M. E., Pani, A. & La Colla, P. (1991). Antivir. Chem. Chemother. 2, 223-227.
- De Rosa, S., Puliti, R., Crispino, A., De Giulio, A., Mattia, C. A. & Mazzarella, L. (1994). J. Nat. Prod. 57, 256–262.
- Duax, W. L., Weeks, C. M. & Rohrer, D. C. (1976). Top. Stereochem. 9, 271–383.

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Ferrándiz, M. L., Sanz, M. J., Bustos, G., Payá, M., Alcaraz, M. J. & De Rosa, S. (1994). Eur. J. Pharmacol. 253, 75–82.
 - Giordano, F. & Puliti, R. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 985-988.
 - Killean, R. C. G. & Lawrence, J. L. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1750– 1752.
 - Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
 - Puliti, R., De Rosa, S. & Mattia, C. A. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 830-833.
 - Puliti, R., Fontana, A., Cimino, G., Mattia, C. A. & Mazzarella, L. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1373–1376.
 - Puliti, R., Gavagnin, M., Cimino, G., Mattia, C. A. & Mazzarella, L. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 2145–2147.
 - Stout, G. H. & Jensen, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination, pp. 409-412. New York: Macmillan.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1198-1201

The 2:1 Adduct of an α -L-Altropyranose Derivative with Hexafluoro-2,6-dihydroxy-2,6-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4-heptanone at 173 K

JÜRGEN KOPF

Institut für Anorganische und Angewandte Chemie, Universität Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 6, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany

GEORGE SHELDRICK

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

HELMUT REINKE

Fachbereich Chemie, Röntgenstrukturanalyse, Universität Rostock, Buchbinderstrasse 9, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

DANIEL RENTSCH AND RALF MIETHCHEN

Fachbereich Chemie, Organische Chemie, Universität Rostock, Buchbinderstrasse 9, D-18051 Rostock, Germany

(Received 18 July 1994; accepted 29 September 1994)

Abstract

As reported earlier [Miethchen, Rentsch & Michalik (1994). *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* pp. 219–222], the structure of methyl 2-O-(cyclohexylcarbamoyl)-6-deoxy-3,4-